

Published in:

Journal of Applied Social Science, Volume 19, Issue 1, March 2025, Pages 49-76.

First published on-line November 11, 2024

THIS IS AN EXTENDED SUMMARY OF THE ARTICLE

**IMPROVING ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE BY CREATING AN
INSTRUMENT THAT CAN HELP KEEP MISUSE OF MANAGER POWER UNDER
CONTROL**

Olga O. Verkhohlyad^{a*}

Oleksandr O. Romanovskyi^b

Yuliia Yu. Romanovska ^c

^aInternational Economic Relations, Management and Business Department, Ukrainian-American Concordia University, Kyiv, Ukraine

^bInternational Economic Relations, Management and Business Department, Ukrainian-American Concordia University, Kyiv, Ukraine

^cGeneral Studies Department, Ukrainian-American Concordia University, Kyiv, Ukraine

^{a*} olga.verkhohlyad@uacu.edu.ua

Abstract

Power misuse by managers in organizations is a wide-spread problem that has significant negative consequences for organizational performance. At the same time, published research on attempts of diagnosing power misuse is scarce. As such, organizations keep facing this problem without help from academia on handling it. The authors of this paper suggest addressing the issue by utilizing a regular survey with the purpose of diagnosing potential power misuse by supervising managers of an organization. The information collected via such a survey can be a powerful feedback instrument toward changing or continuing the state of affairs. Such a survey is developed in this paper. The survey has adequate face validity and Cronbach's alpha reliability of 0.93. Furthermore, its criterion and discriminant validity results indicate their good levels. Therefore, the survey may be recommended for use in the organizational setting. Utilization of this instrument can potentially lead to the increase of employee accomplishments, and the improvement of organization-wide performance.

Key words: power misuse, managers, subordinates, survey, performance management, organizational power management.

According to abundant research, possessing power by an individual often turns to power misuse with a number of negative consequences that spill over to others (e.g. Hasty and Maner 2020; O'Reilly and Pfeffer 2021; Van Kleef and Lange 2020). Managers, as power holders in organizations, may be fully subject to the negative consequences of power possession (Greer and Chu 2020). When they are, it is destructive for performance of their subordinates and, consequently, for the performance of their entire organizations, as a vast stream of research shows (e. g., Schyns and Schilling 2013).

According to Tepper (2000), supervisors who misuse their power affect their subordinates in a number of negative ways including emotional exhaustion, depression, family-to-work conflict, and experience of perceived injustice. Other consequences include: dissatisfaction of subordinates with the consequent decrease in the level of their performance and increase in their turnover intentions (Husain et al. 2020); increased distrust and resentment among the workforce (Foulk et al. 2018); physical health deterioration of such subordinates (Tobore 2023; Sherman and Mehta 2020); increased fear in subordinates in reaching their goals (Cho and Keltner 2020); drop in confidence (Fast et al. 2012); strong chronic negative emotions which significantly affect emotional and psychological stability (Van Kleef and Lange 2020); decreased level of cognitive processes and memory deterioration (Yin and Smith 2020). Truly, the costs to the organization of power holders succumbing to power are enormous. As such, misuse of power by managers can be a significant factor contributing to reduced level of performance of individual subordinate employees and, consequently, the entire organizations.

At the same time, not all power holders misuse power and develop negative manifestations of power possession, what another solid stream of research shows as well (e. g., Huang and Tian 2021; Sassenberg et al. 2014). There are many managers who do outstanding

job in positively developing their subordinates and their organizations, when everyone wins. It is logical to conclude that in their quest for ways toward performance improvement, organizations deserve to know whether power misuse of their managers is, indeed, a problematic factor and needs to be addressed.

Research Question

Although misuse of power in organizations has attracted significant attention, still there is a noticeable gap in research in terms of diagnosing whether a manager really fell prey to power misuse. As found in literature, a sizable number of authors conducted research to measure organizational *power* via creating and validating new instruments or by using the established ones (e.g., Galić et al. 2021; Kocur and Mandal 2018). However, there is noticeable lack of research in terms of measuring supervisors' *power misuse*, and such research has been less comprehensive. Therefore, the Research Question this paper is guided by is: *development of an instrument to measure power misuse of managers as viewed by their subordinates*. This instrument is developed for the managers in manufacturing and service industries where groups of employee report to a manager.

Why is the identified RQ important?

According to abundant research, misuse of power (without any external control or self-control) is almost inevitable because, to a significant extent, possession of power affects people on the physiological level without individuals being fully consciously aware of this (e.g., Dekkers et al. 2019; Scheepers and Knight 2020; Tobore 2023; Yin and Smith 2020). As Foulk et al. suggested (2018), "leaders may enact abusive behavior... not because they are terrible individuals, but because of situational primes... thus, leaders should be aware of the potential corrupting effects that power may have on them" (p. 675).

A survey can create such an awareness. It can serve as a powerful check-and-feedback mechanism to demonstrate to the power holder themselves as well as to the organization how things are going with the use of power by a specific manager. The manager will continue a chosen managerial behavior if the feedback is positive, and s/he will be asked to change it if not. Such actions have a strong potential to lead to organizational performance improvement.

The Final Survey Created

The final validated survey with good psychometric properties created in this article is the following:

1. Does your supervisor follow the general rules and norms, which everyone else in the organization is expected to follow?
2. Is your supervisor willing to admit mistakes?
3. Does your supervisor treat everyone in the organization (department/function) equally?
4. Is the supervisor willing to take advice from subordinates/you?
5. Does your supervisor view subordinates as important contributors to the success of the department/function/organization?

A Survey Is Created and Tested. What's Next?

A number of researchers (e.g. Guinote 2007; Wee et al. 2017) suggested that managers could fully display the negative consequences of power misuse or they might consciously control them. The factor that makes the difference seems to be the value of doing so for the power holder's personal interests (Fehr et al. 2013). This newly developed survey can serve as an organizational mechanism which attaches the powerholder's willingness to consciously control their use of power to their personal goals of successful career development in their respective organization or/and in their respective business field. For example, including an assessment of a

manager's use of power in their Annual Performance Review, which usually affects financial remuneration and career promotion may serve this purpose. Having an organizational structure in place which assesses the way managers use their power and attaches consequences to this may be very effective to motivate managers to use their power for the benefit of their organizations. The proper use of organizational power can lead to great organizational improvement (Husain et al. 2020; Scholl et al. 2018; Wylezalek and Podkowinska 2018). The suggested survey can be an effective factor in such a structure.

The suggested approach has a potential of improving an organization. If utilized by many organizations in a country, such an approach can potentially lead to improvement of economic development of a country. The entire society will be happier and healthier if organizational power misuse is controlled. The suggested survey may be a meaningful contribution to this.

References

- Allen, Mark, Davina Robson, and Dragos Iliescu. 2023. "Face Validity: A Critical but Ignored Component of Scale Construction in Psychological Assessment." *European Journal of Psychological Assessment* 39(3): 153-156.
<https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000777>
- Amudsen, S., Martinsen, Ø., (2015). Linking empowering leadership to job satisfaction, work effort, and creativity: The role of self-leadership and psychological empowerment. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 22, 304-323.
- Anderson, C., John, O. P., & Keltner, D. 2012. The personal sense of power. *Journal of Personality*, 80(2): 313–344.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2011.00734.x>

- Ashforth, B. (1989). The experience of powerlessness in organizations. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 43(2), 207-242.
- [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(89\)90051-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(89)90051-4)
- Bagozzi, R., Phillips, L. (1991). Assessing construct validity in organizational research. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36(3), 421-458.
- Bartlett, J., Kotrlik, J., Higgins, C. (2001). Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research. *Information Technology, Learning, and Performance Journal*, 9(1), 43-50.
- Belkhamza, Z., Hubona, G. (2012). Nomological network in IS research. *Emergent Research Forum*.
- Bendahan, Samuel, Christian Zehnder, Francois Pralong, and John Antonakis. 2015. "Leader Corruption Depends on Power and Testosterone." *The Leadership Quarterly* 26(2): 101-122. DOI: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2014.07.010
- Blader, Steven, and Ya-Ru Chen. 2012. "Differentiating the Effects of Status and Power: A Justice Perspective." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 102(5): 994-1014. DOI: 10.1037/a0026651
- Boateng, G., Neilands, T., Frongillo, E., Melgar-Quiñonez, H., Young, S. (2018). Best practices for developing and validating scales for health, social, and behavioral research: A primer. *Frontiers in Public Health*, Article 00149. Doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00149.
- Braeken, Johan and Marcel A. L. M. van Assen. 2017. "An Empirical Kaiser Criterion." *Psychological Methods* 22(3): 450-466.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/met0000074>

- Bujang, Mohamad, Evi Omar, and Nur Baharum (2018). "A review on Sample Size Determination for Cronbach's Alpha Test: A simple Guide for Researchers." *The Malaysian Journal of Medical Science* 25(6): 85 – 99.
doi: 10.21315/mjms2018.25.6.9
- Byrne, B. (1984). The general/academic self-concept nomological network: A review of construct validation research. *Review of Educational Research*, 54(3), 427-456.
- Carlson, D., Ferguson, M., Hunter, E., & Whitten, D. (2012). Abusive supervision and work-family conflict: The path through emotional labor and burnout. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23, 849-859.
- Cho, Minha and Dacher Keltner. 2020. "Power, Approach and Inhibition: Empirical Advances of a Theory." *Current Opinion in Psychology* 33: 196-200.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.08.013>
- Cislak, Aleksandra, Aleksandra Cichocka, Adrian Wojcik, and Natalia Frankowska. 2018.
"Power Corrupts but Control Does not: What Stands Behind the Effects of Holding High Positions." *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 44(6): 944-957.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167218757456>
- Coleman, Peter and Maxim Voronov. 2003. "Power in Groups and Organizations." Pp.229-254 in *International Handbook of Organizational Teamwork and Cooperative Working*, edited by M. West, D. Tjosvold, and K. Smith. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons Ltd.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470696712.ch12>
- Cooper, Brian, Nathan Eva, Forough Fazlelahi, Alexander Newman, Allan Lee, and Martin

- Obschonka. 2020. "Addressing Common Method Variance and Endogeneity in Vocational Behavior Research: A Review of the Literature and Suggestions for Future Research." *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 121: Article 103472.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2020.103472>
- Costello, A., Osborne, J. (2005). Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation*, 10(7), 1-9.
- Courtright, S., Gardner, R., Smith, T., McCormick, B., & Colbert, A. 2015. My family made me do it: A cross-domain, self-regulatory perspective on antecedents to abusive supervision. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(5): 1630-1652.
DOI: 10.5465/amj.2013.1009.
- Cronbach, L., Meehl, P. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52, 281-302.
- Crone, L., Brunel, L., Auzoult, L. (2021). Validation of a perception of objectification in the workplace short scale. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, Article 651071.
Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.651071
- De Cremer, David and Eric Van Dijk. 2005. "When and Why Leaders Put Themselves First: Leader Behavior in Resource Allocation as a Function of Feeling Entitled." *European Journal of Social Psychology* 35(4): 553-563.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.260>
- Daikeler, J., Silber, H., Bošnjak, M. (2021). A meta-analysis of how country-level factors affect web survey response rates. *International Journal of Market Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14707853211050916>

- Dekkers, Tycho, Joost Rentergem, Bren Meijer, Arne Popma, Eline Wagemaker, and Hilde Huizenga. 2019. "A Meta-Analytical Evaluation of the Dual-Hormone Hypothesis: Does Cortisol Moderate the Relationship Between Testosterone and Status, Dominance, Risk Taking, Aggression, and Psychopathy?" *Neuroscience and Behavioral Reviews* 96: 250-271. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.12.004
- Fan, W., Yan, Z. (2010). Factors affecting response rates of the web surveys: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(2), 132-139.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.10.015>
- Farley, S., Mokhtar, D., Ng, K., Niven, K. (2023). What influences the relationship between workplace bullying and employee well-being? A systematic review of moderators, *Work & Stress*, 37(3), 345-372
DOI: 10.1080/02678373.2023.2169968
- Fast, Nathanael, Niro Sivanathan, Nicole Mayer, and Adam Galinsky. 2012. "Power and Over-Confident Decision-Making." *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 117(2): 249-260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2011.11.009>
- Fast, N., & Schroeder, J. (2020). Power and decision-making: New directions for research in the age of artificial intelligence. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 33, 172-76.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.039>
- Fehr, Ernst, Holger Herz, and Tom Wilkening. 2013. "The Lure of Authority: Motivation and Incentive Effects of Power." *The American Economic Review* 103(4): 1325-1359.
DOI: 10.1257/aer.103.4.1325
- Fields, Dail. 2002. *Taking the Measure of Work: A Guide to Validated Scales for Organizational Research and Diagnosis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

- Field, Andy. 2013. *Discovering Statistics using SPSS*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Fletcher, J. (1999). *Disappearing acts: Gender, power, and relational practice at work*. The MIT Press.
- Follette, M. P. (1973). Power. In E. M. Fox & L. Urwick (Eds.), *Dynamic administration: The collected papers of Mary Parker Follett* (pp. 66-87). Pitman.
- Foulk, Trevor, Klodiana Lanaj, Min-Hsuan Tu, and Lindy Archambeau. 2018. Heavy is the head that wears the crown: An actor-centric approach to daily psychological power, abusive leader behavior, and perceived incivility. *Academy of Management Journal*, 61(2): 661-684. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.5465/amj.2015.1061>
- Fosnacht, K., Sarraf, S., Howe, E., Peck, L. (2017). How important are high response rates for college surveys? *The Review of Higher Education*, 40(2), 245-265. <https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2017.0003>
- French, J. R. P. Jr., & Raven, B. (1959). The bases of social power. In D. Cartwright (ed.), *Studies in social power* (pp.150-167). University of Michigan Press.
- Furr, R. M. (2017). *Psychometrics. An introduction*. Third Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA, SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Galić, Zvonimir, Mitja Ružojčić, Andreja Bubić, Nataša Trojak, Lucija Zeljko, and James LeBreton. 2021. "Measuring the Motive for Power Using Conditional Reasoning: Some Preliminary Findings." *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology* 30(2): 175-191.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2020.1745882>

Galinsky, Adam, Joe Magee, M. Ena Inesi, and Deborah Gruenfeld. 2006. "Power and Perspectives not Taken." *Psychological Science* 17: 1068-1074.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01824.x>

Galinsky, A., Gruenfeld, D., Magee, J., Whitson, J., & Liljenquist, K. (2008). Power reduces the press of the situation: Implications for creativity, conformity, and dissonance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95, 1450-1466.

Greer, Lindred and Charles Chu. 2020. "Power Struggles: When and Why the Benefits of Power for Individuals Paradoxically Harm Groups." *Current Opinion in Psychology* 33: 162-166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.040>

Guadagnoli, Edward and Wayne Velicer. 1988. "Relation of Sample Size to the Stability of Component Patterns." *Psychological Bulletin* 103: 265-275.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.103.2.265>

Guinote, A. (2007). Power and Goal Pursuit. *Personality and Social psychology Bulletin* 33, 1076 - 1087. DOI: 10.1177/0146167207301011.

Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., & Anderson, R. (2018). *Multivariate data analysis (8th ed.)*. United Kingdom: Cengage Learning.

Han, Seonghee, Vikram Nanda and Sabatin Silvery. 2016. "CEO Power and Firm Performance Under Pressure." *Financial Management* 45: 369-400.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/fima.12127>

Hasty, Connor and Jon Maner. 2020. "Power, Status, and Social Judgment.: *Current Opinion in Psychology* 33: 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.007>

- Hatcher, L. (1994). A step-by-step approach to using the SAS system for factor analysis and structural equation modeling. Gary, NC: SAS institute.
- Hieu, V. M. (2020). Employee empowerment and empowering leadership: A literature review. *Technium*, 2(7), 20-28.
- Hinkin, Timothy. 1998. "A Brief Tutorial on the Development of Measures for Use in Survey Questionnaires." *Organizational Research Methods*, 1: 104-121.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/109442819800100106>
- Hinkin, Timothy, Bruce Tracey, and Cathy Enz. 1997. "Scale Construction: Developing Reliable and Valid Measurement Instruments." *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research* 21(1): 100-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109634809702100108>
- Hirsh, Jacob, Adam Galinsky, and Chen-Bo Zhong. 2011. "Drunk, Powerful, and in the Dark: How General Processes of Disinhibition Produce Both Prosocial and Antisocial Behavior." *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 6: 415-427.
DOI: 10.1177/1745691611416992
- Hu, Jia and Robert Liden. 2015. "Making a Difference in the Teamwork: Linking Team Prosocial Motivation to Team Processes and Effectiveness." *Academy of Management Journal* 58(4): 1102-1127. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2012.1142>
- Huang, Caiyun and Siyu Tian. 2021. "Why not All the Powerful Abuse? The Competitive Effects of Psychological Distance and Self-Control." *Frontiers in Psychology* 12: Article 730365. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.730365.
- Hulland, John, Hans Baumgartner, and Keith Smith. 2018. "Marketing Survey Research Best Practices: Evidence and Recommendations from a Review of JAMS Articles." *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 46: 92-108.

Hussain, Kanwal, Zuhair Abbas, Saba Gulzar, Abdul Jibril, and Altaf Hussain. 2020. "Examining the Impact of Abusive Supervision on Employees' Psychological Wellbeing and Turnover Intention: The Mediating Role of Intrinsic Motivation." *Cogent Business and Management* 7:

Article 1818998. DOI: 10.1080/23311975.2020.1818998

Hwang, Hyoseok, Hyun-Dong Kim, and Taeyeon Kim. 2020. "The Blind Power: Power-Led CEO Overconfidence and M&A Decision Making." *North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 52: Article 101141.

Jordan, Peter and Ashlea Troth. 2020. "Common Method Bias in Applied Settings: The Dilemma of researching in Organizations." *Australian Journal of Management* 45(1): 3-14.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0312896219871976>

Kaiser, Henry.1960. "The Application of Electronic Computers to Factor Analysis." *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 20: 141-151.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/001316446002000116>

Kanter, R.M. (1979). Power failure in management circuits. *Harvard Business Review*, 57(4), 65-75.

Karim, F., Rehman, O. (2012). Impact of job satisfaction, perceived organizational justice, and employee empowerment on organizational commitment in semi-government organizations in Pakistan. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 3(4), 92-104.

Knekta, Eva, Christopher Runyon, and Sarah Eddy. 2019. "One Size Doesn't Fit All: Using Factor Analysis to Gather Validity Evidence When Using Surveys in Your Research." *CBE – Life Sciences Education* 18: 1-17.

DOI: 10.1187/cbe.18-04-0064

- Kock, F., Berbekova, A., Assaf, G. (2021). Understanding and managing the threat of common method bias: Detection, prevention and control. *Tourism Management*, 86. Article 104330.
- Kock, N. (2015). Common method bias in PLS-SEM: A full collinearity assessment approach. *International Journal of e-Collaboration*, 11(4), 1-10.
- Kock, N., Lynn, G. (2012). Latent collinearity and misleading results in variance-based SEM: An illustration and recommendations. *Journal of Association for Information Systems*, 13(7), 546-580.
- Kock, N., Gaskins, L. (2014). The mediating role of voice and accountability in the relationship between internet diffusion and government corruption in Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa. *Information Technology for Development*, 20(1), 23-43.
- Kocur, Dagna, and Eugenia Mandal. 2018. "The Need for Power, Need for Influence, Sense of Power, and Directiveness in Female and Male Superiors and Subordinates." *Current Issues in Personality Psychology* 6(1): 47-56.
Doi: 10.5114/cipp.2018.72200
- Kost, Rhonda, and Joel de Rosa. 2018. "Impact of Survey Length and Compensation on Validity, Reliability, and Sample Characteristics for Ultrashort –, Short –, and Long – Research Participant Perception Surveys." *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science* 2: 31-37.
DOI: 10.1017/cts.2018.18.
- Kumar, Mukesh, Salim Abdul Talib, and T. Ramayah. 2013. *Business Research Methods*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Kusy, M., & Holloway, E. (2009). *Toxic workplace: Managing toxic personalities and their systems of power*. Jossey-Bass.
- Lamb, J., Pepler, D., Craig, W. (2009). Approach to bullying and victimization. *Canadian Family Physician*, 66, 356-360.

- Lam, L., Xu, A. (2018). Power imbalance and employee silence: The role of abusive leadership, power distance orientation, and perceived organizational politics. *Applied Psychology*, 68(1). DOI:10.1111/apps.12170
- Lamm, K., Lamm, A., Edgar, D. (2020). Scale development and validation: Methodology and recommendations. *Journal of International Agricultural and Extension Education*, 27(2), Article 3.
DOI: 10.5191/jiaee.2020.27224.
- Li, R., Chen, Z., Zhang, H., Luo, J. (2021). How do authoritarian leadership and abusive supervision jointly thwart follower proactivity? A social control perspective. *Journal of Management*, 47(4), 930-956.
DOI: 10.1177/0149206319878261
- Magee, Joe, and Pamela Smith. 2013. "The Social Distance Theory of Power." *Personality and Social Psychology Review* 17(2): 158-86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868312472732>
- Matsunaga, Masaki. 2010. "How to Factor Analyze Your Data Right: Do's Don'ts, and How-To's." *International Journal of Psychological Research*, 3(1), 98-111.
DOI: 10.21500/20112084.854
- Medina, Alicia, Eduardo Lopez, and Rolf Medina. 2020. "The Unethical Managerial Behaviors and Abuse of Power in Downwards Vertical Workplace Bullying: A Phenomenological Case Study." *Social Sciences*, 9(6): Article 110.
Doi:10.3390/socsci9060110
- Miller, Stewart, Fiona Moore and Lorraine Eden. 2023. "Ethics and International Business

- Research: Considerations and Best Practices.” *International Business Review* 33: Article 102207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2023.102207>
- Mooijman, Marlon, Wilco van Dijk, Naomi Ellemers, and Eric van Dijk. 2015. “Why Leaders Punish: A Power Perspective.” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 109: 75 – 89. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000021>
- Mozahem, Najib, and Carla Ghanem. 2018. “The University Is an Organization: Teaching Organizational Behavior by Relying on Students’ University Experience.” *Management Teaching Review* 3(4): 271-286.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2379298118760220>
- Murray, Janet, Masaaki Kotabe, and Joe Zhou. 2005. “Strategic Alliance-Based Sourcing and Market Performance: Evidence from Foreign Firms Operating in China.” *Journal of International Business Studies* 36(2): 187-208.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400120>
- Nauman, S., Malik, S., Jalil, F. (2019). How workplace bullying jeopardizes employee’s life satisfaction: The roles of job anxiety and insomnia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, Article 2292.
Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02292
- Neatby, J. 2015. “How to Break into Your CEO’ Inner Circle.” *Harvard Business Review*, January. <https://hbr.org/2015/01/how-to-break-into-your-ceos-inner-circle>
- Ogundimu, E., Altman, D., Collins, G. (2016). Adequate sample size for developing Prediction models is not simply related to events per variable. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 76. 175-182.
Doi: 10.1016/j.clinepi.2016.02.031
- O’Reilly, Charles, and Jeffrey Pfeffer. 2021. “Organizational Power and Politics: The

- Narcissist's Advantage?" *Personality and Individual Differences*, 182: Article 111061.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2021.111061>
- Overbeck, Jennifer, and Bernadette Park. 2006. "Powerful Perceivers, Powerless Objects: Flexibility of Powerholders' Social Attention." *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 99(2): 227-243.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.10.003>
- Perneger, T., Courvoisier, D., Hudelson, P., Gayet-Ageron, A. (2014). Sample size for pre-tests of questionnaires. Brief Communication. *Qual Life Res.* Springer International Publishing Switzerland.
DOI: 10.1007/s11136-014-0752-2
- Pfeffer, J. (2015). *Leadership BS: Fixing workplaces and careers one truth at a time.* HarperCollins Publishers.
- Pfeffer, Jeffrey. 2022. *Seven Rules of Power.* London, UK: Swift Press.
- Podsakoff, Philip, Scott MacKenzie, Jeon-Yeon Lee, and Nathan Podsakoff. 2003. "Common Method Biases in Behavioral Research: A critical Review of the Literature and Recommended Remedies." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 88: 879-903.
DOI: 10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879
- Podsakoff, P., Organ, D. (2016). Self-reports in organizational research: Problems and prospects. In G. Boyle, J. O'Gorman, & G. Fogarty (Eds.), *Work and organizational psychology: Research methodology; assessment and selection; organizational change and development; human resource and performance management; emerging trends: Innovation/globalization, technology* (pp. 33-47). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Prislin, Radmila, Vanessa Sawicki, and Kipling Williams. 2011. "New Majorities' Abuse of

- Power: Effects of Perceived Control and Social Support.” *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations* 14: 489-504.
- <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430210391310>
- Reid, Leslie, and Jalal Kawash. 2017. “Let’s Talk About Power: How Teacher Use of Power Shapes Relationships and Learning.” *Postsecondary Learning and Teaching: Proceedings of the University of Calgary Conference on Learning and Teaching*, 2: 34-41.
- Reynolds, M. (1998). Reflection and critical reflection in management learning. *Management Learning*, 29, 183-200.
- Rodriguez-Ardura, I., Meseguer-Artola, A. (2020). Editorial: How to prevent, detect and control common method variance in electronic commerce research. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 15(2).
Online article. DOI: 10.4067/S0718-18762020000200101
- Samuels, Peter. (2017). *Advice on reliability analysis with small samples – Revised version*. (Technical Report). Birmingham City University, Center for Academic Success.
- Sanderson, George. (1977). “The Classroom Professor as a Manager.” *Journal of Financial Education* 6: 51-53.
- Sassenberg, Kai, Naomi Ellemers, Daan Scheepers, and Annika Scholl. 2014. “Power Corrupts Revisited: The Role for Construal of Power as Opportunity or Responsibility.” Pp. 73-87 in *Power, Politics, and Paranoia: Why People are Suspicious of Their Leaders*, edited by J.-W.van Prooijen, and Paul A. M. van Lange. Cambridge University Press.
DOI:10.1017/CBO9781139565417.007
- Scheepers Daan, and Eric Knight. 2020. Neuroendocrine and Cardiovascular Responses to

Shifting Status. *Current Opinions in Psychology* 33: 115-119.

DOI: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.035

Scholl, Annika, Frank de Wit, Naomi Ellemers, Adam Fetterman, Kai Sassenberg, Daan Scheepers. 2018. "The Burden of Power: Construing Power as Responsibility (Rather than as Opportunity) Alters Threat-Challenge Responses." *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 44(7): 1024-1038.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167218757452>

Schrodt, Paul, Paul Witt, and Paul Turman. 2007. "Reconsidering the Measurement of Teacher Power Use in the College Classroom." *Communication Education* 56(3): 308–323.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/03634520701256062>

Schyns, Birgit, and Jan Schilling. 2013. "How Bad Are the Effects of Bad Leaders? A Meta-Analysis of Destructive Leadership and Its Outcomes." *Leadership Quarterly* 24: 138-158.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.09.001>

Schwab, Donald. 1980. "Construct Validity in Organizational Behavior." Vol. 2. Pp.3-43 in *Research in Organizational Behavior*, edited by B. M. Staw and L. L. Cummings. Greenwich, CN: JAI Press.

Sharma, Hunny. (2022). "How Short or Long Should be a Questionnaire for Any Research? Researchers' Dilemma in Deciding the Appropriate Questionnaire Length." *Saudi Journal of Anesthesia* 16: 65-68.

doi: 10.4103/sja.sja_163_21

Shih, T., Fan, X. (2008). Comparing response rates from web and mail surveys: A meta-analysis. *Field Methods*, 20(3), 249-271.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X08317085>

- Singh, A. (2009). Organizational power in perspective. *Leadership and Management in Engineering*, 9, 165-176.
- Song, Jiyoung, Esther Howe, Joshua Oltmanns, and Aaron Fisher. 2023. "Examining the Concurrent and Predictive Validity of Single Items in Ecological Momentary Assessments." *Assessment* 30(5):1662-1671.
DOI:10.1177/10731911221113563
- Stevens, James. 1992. *Applied Multivariate Statistics for the Social Sciences*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Suhr, D. (2006). *Exploratory or Confirmatory Factor Analysis*. Gary, NC: SAS Institute.
- Tavakol, Mohsen, and Reg Dennick. 2011. "Making Sense of Cronbach's Alpha." *International Journal of Medical Education* 2: 53-55. DOI: 10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd.
- Tavakol, Mohsen, and Angela Wetzel. 2020. "Factor Analysis: a Means for Theory and Instrument Development in Support of Construct Validity." *International Journal of Medical Education* 11: 245- 247. DOI: 10.5116/ijme.5f96.0f4a
- Tavanti, M. (2011). Managing toxic leaders: Dysfunctional patterns in organizational leadership and how to deal with them. *Human Resource Management*, 6(83), 127-136.
- Tehseen, S., Ramayah, T., Sajilan, S. (2017). Testing and controlling for common method variance: A review of available methods. *Journal of Management Sciences*, 4(2), 146-175. DOI: 10.20547/jms.2014.1704202
- Tobore, Onojighofia. 2023. "On Power and Its Corrupting Effects: The Effects of Power on

- Human Behavior and the Limits of Accountability Systems.” *Communicative & Integrative Biology* 16(1): Article 2246793.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/19420889.2023.2246793>
- Tjosvold, D., Chen, G., & Liu, C. (2001, July). *Interdependence, Mutual Enhancement and Strategic Advantage: Top Management Teams in China*. [Paper presentation]. Social Interdependence Theory Conference, Minneapolis, MN, USA.
- Transparency International. (n.d.). What is corruption? Retrieved from <https://www.transparency.org/en/what-is-corruption> on Feb 1, 2024.
- Van Kleef, Gerben, Christopher Oveis, Ilmo van der Lowe, Aleksandr LuoKogan, Jennifer Goetz, and Dacher Keltner. 2008. “Power, Distress and Compassion: Turning a Blind Eye to the Suffering of Others.” *Psychological Science* 19(12): 1315-1322.
DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02241.x
- Van Kleef, Gerben, and Jens Lange. 2020. “How Hierarchy Shapes Our Emotional Lives: Effects of Power and Status on Emotional Experience, Expression and Responsiveness.” *Current Opinion in Psychology* 33: 148-153.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.009>
- Vittinghoff, E., McCulloch, C. (2007). Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and cox regression. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 165(6), 710-718.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwk052>
- Vlčková, Kateřina, Jan Mareš, and Stanislav Ježek. 2015. «Adaptation of Teacher Power Use Scale to Lower Secondary Students and Student Teachers.» *Pedagogická Orientace* 25(6): 798-821.
- Vredenburg, Donald, and Yael Brender. 1998. The hierarchical abuse of power in work

organizations. *Journal of Business Ethics* 17(12): 1337-1347.

<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005775326249>

Wang, L., Murnighan, JK. (2017). The dynamics of punishment and trust. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(10), 1385-1402. Doi: 10.1037/apl0000178.

Wee, E. X. M., Liao, H., Liu, D., & Liu, J. 2017. Moving from abuse to reconciliation: a power dependency perspective on when and how a follow can break the spiral of abuse. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60: 2352-2380.

Doi:10.5465/amj.2015.0866

Westen, D., Rosenthal, R. (2003). Quantifying construct validity: two simple measures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(3), 608-618.

Willardt, R., Schmid, P. (2024). The threat of powerlessness: Consequences for affect and social cognition. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 111. Article 104576.

Willimack, Diane, Heather Ridolfo, Amy Riemer, Melissa Cidade, and Kathy Ott. 2023.

“Advances in Question (naire) Development, Pretesting, and Evaluation.” Pp. 387-411 in *Advances in Business Statistics, Methods and Data Collection*, edited by G. Snijkers, M. Bavdaš, S. Bender, J. Jones, S. MacFeely, J. Sakshaug, K. Thompson, A. van Delden. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Wu, M.-J., Zhao, K., Fils-Aime, F. (2022). Response rates of online surveys in published Research: A meta-analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 7, Article 100206.

- Wylezalek, Joanna, and Monika Podkowska. 2018. "Pathological Mechanisms of Human Resource Management." Pp. 83-102 in *Communication, Education, Culture*, edited by M. Podkowska. University of Presov Pro Communio.
- Yin, Yidan, and Pamela Smith. 2020. "Power and Cognitive Functioning." *Current Opinion in Psychology* 33: 95-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.020>
- Zhang, B., Wisse, B., Lord, R. (2023). How objectifiers are granted power in the workplace. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, Article 2930, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2930>
- Ziemianski, Pawel. 2022. "Identifying and Mitigating the Negative Effects of Power in Organizations." *Journal of Applied Social Science* 16(1): 140-150.
Doi: 10.1177/19367244211014789